

Remarks:

This amendment is submitted in an earnest effort to advance this case to issue without delay.

The claims have been gone over to clear up some minor typographical errors and to eliminate some nonstandard terminology resulting from the translation. No new matter whatsoever has been added.

Applicant herewith provisionally elects with traverse the product of claims 1 to 7.

This is a PCT national-phase application where unity of invention is governed by 37 CFR 1.475(b) that states that "An international and a national stage [sic] application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:

(1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product..."

Claims 8 through 10 clearly read on a process for making the product of claims 1 to 7, so that this case falls squarely within the statute and restriction is totally incorrect.

Withdrawal of the restriction requirement and
examination of all claims are therefore in order.

Respectfully submitted,
K.F. Ross P.C.


by: Andrew Wilford, 26,597
Attorney for Applicant

01 June 2007
5683 Riverdale Avenue Box 900
Bronx, NY 10471-0900
Cust. No.: 535
Tel: 718 884-6600
Fax: 718 601-1099
Email: email@kfrpc.com

Enclosure: None.